

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Heather Angelica Kamp,	)	C/A No.: 1:13-3450-RBH-SVH
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
Warden of Graham Correctional	)	
Institution,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	


---

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, brought this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent filed a motion for summary judgment on April 21, 2014. [Entry #18]. As Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), on April 22, 2014, advising her of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for her to file an adequate response. [Entry #20]. Petitioner was specifically advised that if she failed to respond adequately by May 27, 2014, Respondent's motion may be granted, thereby ending this case. The court granted Petitioner one extension to file her response to summary judgment by June 26, 2014. [Entry #23].

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Petitioner has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that she does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, it is ordered that Petitioner shall advise the court as to whether she wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent's motion for summary

judgment by August 14, 2014. Petitioner is further advised that if she fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Shiva V. Hodges". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

July 31, 2014  
Columbia, South Carolina

Shiva V. Hodges  
United States Magistrate Judge